

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RICHARD KADREY, et al.,
11 Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,
12 v.
13 META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware
14 corporation;
15 Defendant.

Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS’
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE A
REPLY (ECF 148) IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
TO MODIFY CASE DEADLINES (ECF 129)**

Discovery Cut-Off: September 30, 2024
Date Action Filed: July 7, 2023

1 On September 13, 2024, Plaintiffs Richard Kadrey, Sarah Silverman, Christopher Golden,
2 Ta-Nehisi Coates, Junot Diaz, Christopher Golden, Andrew Sean Greer, David Henry Hwang,
3 Matthew Klam, Laura Lippman, Rachel Louise Snyder, Jacqueline Woodson, and Lysa TerKeurst
4 (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) filed an Administrative Motion for Leave to File a Reply to Defendant
5 Meta Platforms, Inc.’s Opposition to ECF 129 (“Administrative Motion”) (Dkt. 148). Defendant
6 Meta Platforms, Inc. filed an Opposition to the Administrative Motion on September 15, 2024.
7 Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion, the supporting declaration and exhibits, all other
8 papers and briefing submitted in opposition to the Administrative Motion, it is hereby ordered that
9 the Administrative Motion is **DENIED**.

10 Plaintiffs are not permitted to file a reply in support of their Motion to Enlarge Discovery
11 Cutoff (Dkt. 129) (“Motion”).
12
13

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.**
15

16 Dated: _____

17 HON. VINCE CHHABRIA
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28